# Package 'bWGR'

January 8, 2020

Type Package

Title Bayesian Whole-Genome Regression

Version 1.6.6

Date 2020-01-07

Author Alencar Xavier, William Muir, Kyle Kocak, Shizhong Xu, Katy Rainey.

Maintainer Alencar Xavier <alenxav@gmail.com>

**Description** Whole-genome regression methods on Bayesian framework fitted via EM or Gibbs sampling, univariate and multivariate, with optional kernel term and sampling techniques.

License GPL-3

Imports Rcpp

LinkingTo Rcpp

**Depends** R (>= 3.2.0)

NeedsCompilation yes

**Repository** CRAN

Date/Publication 2020-01-08 11:50:02 UTC

# **R** topics documented:

bWGR-package	2
Dataset	2
WGR1 (MC)	3
WGR2 (EM)	6
WGR3 (MV)	8
XTRA 1	9
XTRA 2	11
	12

Index

```
bWGR-package
```

# Description

Whole-genome regression methods on Bayesian framework fitted via EM or Gibbs sampling, univariate and multivariate, with optional kernel term and sampling techniques.

#### Details

Package:	bWGR
Type:	Package
Version:	1.6.6
Date:	2020-01-07
License:	GPL-3

# Author(s)

Alencar Xavier, William Muir, Kyle Kocak, Shizhong Xu, Katy Rainey. Maintainer: Alencar Xavier <alenxav@gmail.com>

# Examples

```
## Not run:
data(tpod)
Fit = wgr(y,gen)
cor(y,Fit$hat)
```

## End(Not run)

|--|

# Description

Two biparental crosses phenotyped for the percentage of pods containing four seeds

# Usage

data(tpod)

# WGR1 (MC)

# Details

Soybean nested association panel with 2 families (fam) containing 196 individuals. Genotypic matrix (gen) have 376 SNP across 20 chromosome (chr). Phenotypic information (y) regards the proportion of tetra-seed pods. Data provided by Rainey Lab for Soybean Breeding and Genetics, Purdue University.

# Author(s)

Alencar Xavier and Katy Rainey

WGR1 (MC)

MCMC Whole-genome Regression

# Description

Univariate model to find breeding values through regression with optional resampling techniques (Xavier et al. 2017) and polygenic term (Kernel). See "Details" for additional standalone functions written in C++.

#### Usage

wgr(y,X,it=1500,bi=500,th=1,bag=1,rp=FALSE,iv=FALSE,de=FALSE, pi=0,df=5,R2=0.5,eigK=NULL,VarK=0.95,verb=FALSE)

#### Arguments

У	Numeric vector of observations $(n)$ describing the trait to be analyzed. NA is allowed.
Х	Numeric matrix containing the genotypic data. A matrix with $n$ rows of observations and $(m)$ columns of molecular markers.
it	Integer. Number of iterations or samples to be generated.
bi	Integer. Burn-in, the number of iterations or samples to be discarted.
th	Integer. Thinning parameter, used to save memory by storing only one every 'th' samples.
bag	If different than one, it indicates the proportion of data to be subsampled in each Markov chain. For datasets with moderate number of observations, values of bag from 0.30 to 0.60 may speed up computation without losses in predicion properties. This argument enable users to enhance MCMC through subsampling (Xavier et al. 2017).
rp	Logical. Use replacement for bootstrap samples when bag is different than one.
iv	Logical. Assign markers independent variance, a T prior from a mixture of normals. If true, turns the default model BLUP into BayesA.
de	Logical. Assign markers independent variance through double-exponential prior. If true, turns the default model BLUP into Bayesian LASSO. This argument overides iv.

pi	Value between 0 and 1. If greater than zero it activates variable selection, where markers have expected probability pi of having null effect.
df	Prior degrees of freedom of variance components.
R2	Expected R2, used to calculate the prior shape.
eigK	Output of function eigen. Spectral decomposition of the kernel used as a second random effect (eg. pedigree matrix).
VarK	Numeric between 0 and 1. For reduction of dimensionality. Indicates the pro- portion of variance explained by Eigenpairs used to fit second random effect.
verb	Logical. If verbose is TRUE, function displays MCMC progress bar.

# Details

The model for the whole-genome regression is as follows:

y = mu + Xb + u + e

where y is the response variable, mu is the intercept, X is the genotypic matrix, b is the regression coefficient or effect of an allele substitution, with d probability of being included into the model, u is the polygenic term if a kernel is used, and e is the residual term.

Users can obtain four WGR methods out of this function: BRR (pi=0,iv=F), BayesA (pi=0,iv=T), BayesB (pi=0.95,iv=T), BayesC (pi=0.95,iv=F) and Bayesian LASSO or BayesL (pi=0,de=T). Theoretical basis of each model is described by de los Campos et al. (2013).

Gibbs sampler that updates regression coefficients is adapted from GSRU algorithm (Legarra and Misztal 2008). The variable selection of functions wgr, BayesB and BayesC works through the unconditional prior algorithm proposed by Kuo and Mallick (1998), whereas BayesCpi and BayesDpi are based on Metropolis-Hastings. Prior shape estimates are computed as Sb = R2\*df\*var(y)/MSx and Se = (1-R2)\*df\*var(y), with an exception for BayesC and BayesCpi where the prior shape is Sb = R2\*df\*var(y)/MSx/(1-pi). The polygenic term is solved by Bayesian algorithm of reproducing kernel Hilbert Spaces proposed by de los Campos et al. (2010).

In addition to wgr, standalone C++ functions available include:

01) BayesA(y,X,it=1500,bi=500,df=5,R2=0.5)

02) BayesB(y,X,it=1500,bi=500,pi=0.95,df=5,R2=0.5)

03) BayesC(y,X,it=1500,bi=500,pi=0.95,df=5,R2=0.5)

04) BayesCpi(y,X,it=1500,bi=500,df=5,R2=0.5)

05) BayesDpi(y,X,it=1500,bi=500,df=5,R2=0.5)

06) BayesL(y,X,it=1500,bi=500,df=5,R2=0.5)

07) BayesRR(y,X,it=1500,bi=500,df=5,R2=0.5)

The implementations that support two random effects include:

08) BayesA2(y,X1,X2,it=1500,bi=500,df=5,R2=0.5)

09) BayesB2(y,X1,X2,it=1500,bi=500,pi=0.95,df=5,R2=0.5)

10) BayesRR2(y,X1,X2,it=1500,bi=500,df=5,R2=0.5)

And the cross-validation for the C++ implementations, with arguments analogous to emCV.

mcmcCV(y,gen,k=5,n=5,it=1500,bi=500,pi=0.95,df=5,R2=0.5,avg=T,llo=NULL,tbv=NULL)

#### Value

The function wgr returns a list with expected value from the marker effect (b), probability of marker being in the model (d), regression coefficient (g), variance of each marker (Vb), the intercept (mu), the polygene (u) and polygenic variance (Vk), residual variance (Ve) and the fitted value (hat).

#### Author(s)

Alencar Xavier

#### References

de los Campos, G., Hickey, J. M., Pong-Wong, R., Daetwyler, H. D., and Calus, M. P. (2013). Whole-genome regression and prediction methods applied to plant and animal breeding. Genetics, 193(2), 327-345.

de los Campos, G., Gianola, D., Rosa, G. J., Weigel, K. A., & Crossa, J. (2010). Semi-parametric genomic-enabled prediction of genetic values using reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces methods. Genetics Research, 92(04), 295-308.

Kuo, L., & Mallick, B. (1998). Variable selection for regression models. Sankhya: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series B, 65-81.

Legarra, A., & Misztal, I. (2008). Technical note: Computing strategies in genome-wide selection. Journal of dairy science, 91(1), 360-366.

Xavier, A., Xu, S., Muir, W., & Rainey, K. M. (2017). Genomic prediction using subsampling. BMC bioinformatics, 18(1), 191.

#### Examples

```
## Not run:
```

```
# Load data
data(tpod)
```

```
# BLUP
fit_BRR = wgr(y,gen,iv=FALSE,pi=0)
cor(y,fit_BRR$hat)
```

```
# BayesA
fit_BayesA = wgr(y,gen,iv=TRUE,pi=0)
cor(y,fit_BayesA$hat)
```

```
# BayesB
fit_BayesB = wgr(y,gen,iv=TRUE,pi=.95)
cor(y,fit_BayesB$hat)
```

```
# BayesC
fit_BayesC = wgr(y,gen,iv=FALSE,pi=.95)
cor(y,fit_BayesC$hat)
```

# BayesCpi
fit\_BayesCpi = BayesCpi(y,gen)

```
cor(y,fit_BayesCpi$hat)
# BayesDpi
fit_BayesDpi = BayesDpi(y,gen)
cor(y,fit_BayesDpi$hat)
# BayesL
fit_BayesL = wgr(y,gen,de=TRUE)
cor(y,fit_BayesL$hat)
# Bagging BLUP
fit_Bag = wgr(y,gen,bag=0.5)
cor(y,fit_Bag$hat)
```

```
## End(Not run)
```

```
WGR2 (EM)
```

Expectation-Maximization WGR

#### Description

Univariate models to find breeding values through regression fitted via expectation-maximization implemented in C++.

#### Usage

#### Arguments

У	Numeric vector of response variable $(n)$ . NA is not allowed.
gen	Numeric matrix containing the genotypic data. A matrix with $n$ rows of observations and $m$ columns of molecular markers.
df	Hyperprior degrees of freedom of variance components.
R2	Expected R2, used to calculate the prior shape (de los Campos et al. 2013).
Pi	Value between 0 and 1. Expected probability pi of having null effect (or 1-Pi if Pi>0.5).

6

alpha	Value between 0 and 1. Intensity of L1 variable selection.
D	NULL or numeric vector with length p. Vector of weights for markers.
k	Integer. Folding of a k-fold cross-validation.
n	Integer. Number of cross-validation to perform.
avg	Logical. Return average across CV, or correlations within CV.
110	NULL or a vector (numeric or factor) with the same length as y. If provided, the cross-validations are performed as Leave a Level Out (LLO). This argument allows the user to predefine the splits. This argument overrides k and n.
tbv	NULL or numeric vector of 'true breeding values' $(n)$ to use to compare cross-validations to. If NULL, the cross-validations will have the phenotypes as prediction target.

# Details

The model for the whole-genome regression is as follows:

y = mu + Xb + e

where y is the response variable, mu is the intercept, X is the genotypic matrix, b is the effect of an allele substitution (or regression coefficient) and e is the residual term. A k-fold cross-validation for model evaluation is provided by emCV.

# Value

The EM functions returns a list with the intercept (mu), the regression coefficient (b), the fitted value (hat), and the estimated intraclass-correlation (h2).

The function emCV returns the predictive ability of each model, that is, the correlation between the predicted and observed values from k-fold cross-validations repeated n times.

#### Author(s)

Alencar Xavier

# Examples

## Not run:

data(tpod)
emCV(y,gen,3,3)

## End(Not run)

WGR3 (MV)

#### Description

Multivariate model to find breeding values.

#### Usage

mkr(Y,K)
mrr(Y,X)

#### Arguments

Y	Numeric matrix of observations x trait. NA is allowed.
К	Numeric matrix containing the relationship matrix.
Х	Numeric matrix containing the genotyping matrix.

# Details

The model for the kernel regression (mkr) is as follows:

$$Y = Mu + UB + E$$

where Y is a matrix of response variables, Mu represents the intercepts, U is the matrix of Eigenvector of K, b is a vector of regression coefficients and E is the residual matrix.

The model for the ridge regression (mrr) is as follows:

$$Y = Mu + XB + E$$

where Y is a matrix of response variables, Mu represents the intercepts, X is the matrix of genotypic information, B is the matrix of marker effects, and E is the residual matrix.

Algorithm: Residuals are assumed to be independent among traits. Regression coefficients are solved via a multivaraite adaptation of Gauss-Seidel Residual Update. Variance and covariance components are solved with an efficient variation of EM-REML.

Other related implementations:

- 01) mkr2X(Y,K1,K2): Solves multi-trait kernel regressions with two random effects.
- 02) mrr2X(Y, X1, X2): Solves multi-trait ridge regressions with two random effects.
- 03) mrrR(Y,X): Variation of mrr that assumes correlated residuals.

### Value

Returns a list with the random effect covariances (Vb), residual variances (Ve), genetic correlations (GC), matrix with marker effects (b) or eigenvector effects (if mkr), intercepts (mu), heritabilities (h2), and a matrix with fitted values (hat).

# XTRA 1

#### Author(s)

Alencar Xavier

#### Examples

## Not run:

```
# Load data and compute G matrix
data(tpod)
gen = CNT(gen)
K = tcrossprod(gen)
K = K/mean(diag(K))
# Phenotypes: 3 traits correlated r=0.5
G0 = 0.5 + diag(0.5,3)
G = kronecker(G0,K)
diag(G)=diag(G)+0.001
L = chol(G)
TBV = crossprod(L,rnorm(196*3))
Y = rnorm(196*3, 10+TBV, sd(TBV))
Phe = matrix(Y, ncol=3)
TBV = matrix(TBV,ncol=3)
# Fit kernel and regression models
test1 = mkr(Phe,K)
test2 = mrr(Phe,gen)
# Genetic correlation
test1$GC
test2$GC
# Heritabilies
test1$h2
test2$h2
# Goodness of fit
diag(cor(TBV,test1$hat))
diag(cor(TBV,test2$hat))
```

## End(Not run)

```
XTRA 1
```

Mixed model solver

#### Description

Function to solve univariate mixed models with or without the usage of omic information. This function allows single-step modeling of replicated observations with marker information available

through the usage of a linkage function to connect to a whole-genome regression method. Genomic estimated values can be optionally deregressed (no shrinkage) while fitting the model.

#### Usage

#### Arguments

У	Response variable from the data frame containg the dataset.
random	Formula. Right-hand side formula of random effects.
fixed	Formula. Right-hand side formula of fixed effects.
data	Data frame containing the response variable, random and fixed terms.
Х	List of omic incidence matrix. Row names of these matrices connect the omic in- formation to the levels of the indicated random terms (eg. X=list("ID"=gen)).
alg	Function. Whole-genome regression algorithm utilized to solve link functions. These include MCMC (wgr, BayesB, etc) and EM (emEN, emDE, etc) algorithms. By default, it runs maximum likelihood emML.
maxit	Integer. Maximum number of iterations.
Deregress	Logical. Deregress (unshrink) coefficients while fitting the model?
	Additional arguments to be passed to the whole-genome regression algorithms especified on alg.

#### Details

The model for the whole-genome regression is as follows:

$$y = Xb + Zu + Wa + e$$

where y is the response variable, Xb corresponds to the fixed effect term, Zu corresponds to one or more random effect terms, W is the incidence matrix of terms with omic information and a is omic values by a = Mg, where M is the genotypic matrix and g are marker effects. Here, e is the residual term. An example is provided using the data from the NAM package with: demo(mixedmodel).

#### Value

The function wgr returns a list with Fitness values (Fitness) containing observation obs, fitted values hat, residuals res, and fitted values by model term fits; Estimated variance components (VarComp) containing the variance components per se (VarComponents) and variance explained by each model term (VarExplained), regression coefficients by model term (Coefficients), and the effects of structured terms (Structure) containing the marker effects of each model term where markers were provided.

#### Author(s)

Alencar Xavier

# XTRA 2

#### References

Xavier, A. (2019). Efficient Estimation of Marker Effects in Plant Breeding. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, DOI: 10.1534/g3.119.400728

#### Examples

## Not run:
demo(mixedmodel)

## End(Not run)

XTRA 2

Additional tools

# Description

Complementary functions that may help with handling parameters and routine operations.

# Details

emGWA(y,gen) # Simple MLM for association analysis
markov(gen,chr=NULL) # Markovian imputation of genotypes coded as 012
IMP(X) # Imputes genotypes with SNP expectation (column average)
CNT(X) # Recodes SNPs by centralizing columns in a matrix
GAU(X) # Creates Gaussian kernel as exp(-Dist2/mean(Dist2))
GRM(X,Code012=FALSE) # Creates additive kinship matrix VanRaden (2008)
SPC(y,blk,row,col,rN=3,cN=1) # Spatial covariate
SPM(blk,row,col,rN=3,cN=1) # Spatial design matrix
SibZ(id,p1,p2) # Pedigree design matrix compatible to regression methods
Hmat(ped,gen=NULL) # Kinship combining pedigree and genomics

#### Author(s)

Alencar Xavier

# Index

```
BayesA (WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesA2 (WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesB(WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesB2 (WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesC (WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesCpi(WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesDpi(WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesL (WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesRR (WGR1 (MC)), 3
BayesRR2 (WGR1 (MC)), 3
bWGR (bWGR-package), 2
bWGR-package, 2
chr (Dataset), 2
CNT (XTRA 2), 11
Dataset, 2
emBA (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emBB (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emBC (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emBL (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emCV (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emDE (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emEN (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emGWA (XTRA 2), 11
emML (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emML2 (WGR2 (EM)), 6
emRR (WGR2 (EM)), 6
fam (Dataset), 2
GAU (XTRA 2), 11
gen (Dataset), 2
GRM (XTRA 2), 11
GSEN (WGR1 (MC)), 3
Hmat (XTRA 2), 11
IMP (XTRA 2), 11
```

KMUP (WGR1 (MC)), 3 KMUP2 (WGR1 (MC)), 3 markov (XTRA 2), 11 mcmcCV (WGR1 (MC)), 3 mixed (XTRA 1), 9 mkr(WGR3 (MV)), 8 mkr2X(WGR3 (MV)), 8 mrr(WGR3 (MV)), 8 mrr2X (WGR3 (MV)), 8 mrrFast(WGR3 (MV)), 8 mrrR(WGR3 (MV)), 8 mtgsru (XTRA 1), 9 mtmixed (XTRA 1), 9 SibZ(XTRA 2), 11 SPC (XTRA 2), 11 SPM (XTRA 2), 11 tpod (Dataset), 2 wgr(WGR1 (MC)), 3 WGR1 (MC), 3 WGR2 (EM), 6 WGR3 (MV), 8 XTRA 1,9 XTRA 2,11 y (Dataset), 2